Classification of higher-dimensional operators in the Standard Model

Mateusz Iskrzyński

University of Warsaw

IMPRS Workshop, Munich 19.07.2010

1 Introduction

- Effective theories
- Structure of the Standard Model

2 Reasoning scheme

- 3 Basis of invariant effective operators
- 4 Comparison with "Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation" by Buchmüller, Wyler (1986)

Effective theories

Standard Model \rightarrow Extension

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Standard Model} \rightarrow \textit{Extension} \\ \textit{But how does Extension correct Standard Model interactions in} \\ \textit{low-energy processes?} \end{array}$

But how does *Extension* correct *Standard Model* interactions in low-energy processes?

Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + O(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{3}})$$

But how does *Extension* correct *Standard Model* interactions in low-energy processes?

Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + O(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{3}})$$

What are the operators $\mathcal{O}_i^{(5)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_i^{(6)}$?

But how does *Extension* correct *Standard Model* interactions in low-energy processes?

Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + O(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{3}})$$

What are the operators $\mathcal{O}_i^{(5)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_i^{(6)}$?

- Gauge and Lorentz symmetry
- Dependencies through EOM

But how does *Extension* correct *Standard Model* interactions in low-energy processes?

Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + O(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{3}})$$

What are the operators $\mathcal{O}_i^{(5)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_i^{(6)}$?

- Gauge and Lorentz symmetry
- Dependencies through EOM

Classification given in the paper "Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation" by W. Buchmüller, D. Wyler (1986), but...

But how does *Extension* correct *Standard Model* interactions in low-energy processes?

Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + O(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{3}})$$

What are the operators $\mathcal{O}_i^{(5)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_i^{(6)}$?

- Gauge and Lorentz symmetry
- Dependencies through EOM

Classification given in the paper "Effective lagrangian analysis of new interactions and flavour conservation" by W. Buchmüller, D. Wyler (1986), but...

22 (of 81) operators are redundant and 1 is absent.

SM - gauge group representations structure

Field	representation (dimension)		hypercharge
Tielu	SU(3)	SU(2)	U(1)
${\cal G}_{\mu}$	8	1	0
W_{μ}	1	3	0
B_{μ}	1	1	0
q	3	2	$\frac{1}{6}$
u	3	1	$\frac{2}{3}$
d	3	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$
1	1	2	$-\frac{1}{2}$
е	1	1	-1
φ	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$

(ロ)、

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = -\frac{1}{4} G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{I}_{\mu\nu} W^{I\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}\varphi)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}\varphi) + m^{2}\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)^{2} + i\bar{I} \not{D}I + i\bar{e} \not{D}e + i\bar{q} \not{D}q + i\bar{u} \not{D}u + i\bar{d} \not{D}d + - (\bar{I}\Gamma_{e}e\varphi + \bar{q}\Gamma_{u}u(\tilde{\varphi}) + \bar{q}\Gamma_{d}d\varphi + h.c.)$$

Туре	vector V_{μ}	tensor $X_{\mu u}$	spinor Ψ	skalar φ
Dimension	$(GeV)^1$	$(GeV)^2$	$(GeV)^{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(GeV)^1$
Object	D_{μ}	$W_{\mu u}, G_{\mu u}, B_{\mu u}$	q, I, u, d, e	arphi

▶ for SU(3)

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\mu} - g_{s}f^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{B}}_{\mu}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu}$$

▶ for SU(2)

$$W^I_{\mu
u}=\partial_\mu W^I_
u-\partial_
u W^I_\mu-garepsilon^{IJK}W^J_\mu W^K_
u$$

▶ for U(1)

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > □ = のへで

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.
- Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Description in terms of matter fields φ, ψ, field strength tensors X_{µν} and covariant derivatives D_µ. Dimensional analysis.
 e.g. dim-6 expressions containing both fermionic and bosonic fields: ψψXD, ψψXφ, ψψφφφ, ψψφφD, ψψφDD, ψψDDD
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.
- Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.
- Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.

e.g. $\psi\psi\varphi DD$:

many possible choices of ψ - the only singlet in $\hat{2}_{SU(2)}\otimes\hat{2}_{SU(2)}$ hypercharge conservation

$$(q^{\dagger} \varepsilon \varphi^{*}) u, \ (q^{\dagger} \varphi) d, \ (l^{\dagger} \varphi) e, \ + h.c.$$

3. Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

Reasoning scheme

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.

e.g. $\psi\psi\varphi DD$:

many possible choices of ψ - the only singlet in $\hat{2}_{SU(2)}\otimes\hat{2}_{SU(2)}$ hypercharge conservation

$$(q^{\dagger} \varepsilon \varphi^{*}) u, \ (q^{\dagger} \varphi) d, \ (l^{\dagger} \varphi) e, \ + h.c.$$

Lorentz structure contains 2 singlets:

$$\begin{split} (\tfrac{1}{2},0) \otimes (\tfrac{1}{2},0) \otimes (\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1}{2}) \otimes (\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1}{2}) &= (0,0) \oplus (0,0) \oplus (1,0) \oplus (2,0) \\ & \oplus (1,1) \oplus (0,1) \oplus (1,1) \oplus (2,1) \end{split}$$

3. Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

Reasoning scheme

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.

e.g. $\psi\psi\psi\varphi DD$:

many possible choices of ψ - the only singlet in $\hat{2}_{SU(2)}\otimes\hat{2}_{SU(2)}$ hypercharge conservation

$$(q^{\dagger} \varepsilon \varphi^{*}) u, \ (q^{\dagger} \varphi) d, \ (I^{\dagger} \varphi) e, \ + h.c.$$

2 independent Lorentz invariants (for each):

 $\bar{\psi}_L \psi_R \varphi D_\mu D^\mu - \bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R \varphi D^\mu D^\nu$

3. Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

Reasoning scheme

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.
- 3. Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM:

We have (omitting full div) the following operators:

$$(\bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R) (D^\mu D^\nu \varphi) \tag{1}$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} D^\mu D^\nu \psi_R) \varphi \tag{2}$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} D^\mu \psi_R) (D^\nu \varphi) \tag{3}$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu D^\mu \psi_R)\varphi \tag{4}$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu \psi_R)(D^\mu \varphi) \tag{5}$$

$$(\bar{\psi}_L \psi_R) (D_\mu D^\mu \varphi) \tag{6}$$

- ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - ∽○へ⊙

- 1. Description in terms of matter fields φ , ψ , field strength tensors $X_{\mu\nu}$ and covariant derivatives D_{μ} . Dimensional analysis.
- 2. Gauge and Lorentz symmetry.
- Reduction of the set of operators using algebraic properties and SM EOM: We can reduce:

$$\begin{split} (\bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R) (D^\mu D^\nu \varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R) ([D^\mu, D^\nu] \varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_R) (ig \mathcal{W}^{\mu\nu} + ig' \mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu}) \varphi \sim \boxed{\psi \psi X \varphi} \end{split}$$

Reduction scheme



φ^{6}	XXX	$\varphi \varphi X X$	$\varphi^4 DD$
$(arphi^{\dagger}arphi)^3$	$\varepsilon^{IJK}W^{I \ \nu}_{\mu}W^{J \ ho}W^{K \ \mu}_{ ho}$	$arphi^{\dagger}T^{I}arphi W^{I}_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	$(arphi^\daggerarphi)(D_\muarphi)^\dagger(D^\muarphi)$
	$arepsilon^{IJK}\widetilde{W}^{I\mu u}W^J_{ u\delta}W^{K\delta}_{\ \mu}$	$arphi^{\dagger} T^{I} arphi W^{I}_{\mu u} ilde{B}^{\mu u}$	$[arphi^\dagger(D^\muarphi)][(D_\muarphi)^\daggerarphi]$
	$f^{ABCc}G^{A\ u}_{\ \mu}G^{B\ u}_{\ u}G^{C\ \mu}_{\ u}G^{C\ \mu}_{ ho}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi W^{I}_{\mu u} W^{I\mu u}$	
	$f^{ABC} \tilde{G}^{A\ u}_{\ \mu} G^{B\ \delta}_{\ u} G^{C\ \mu}_{\ u}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi W^I_{\mu u} ilde W^{I\mu u}$	
		$arphi^{\dagger}arphi G^{oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}_{\mu u}G^{oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}\mu u$	
		$arphi^\dagger arphi {m G}^{m A}_{\mu u} {m ilde G}^{m A\mu u}$	
		$arphi^\dagger arphi B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	
		$arphi^\dagger arphi {\cal B}_{\mu u} ilde{\cal B}^{\mu u}$	

Invariant operators with 2 fermions

$\psi\psi\varphiarphiarphi D$	$\psi\psiarphiarphiarphi$	$\psi\psioldsymbol{X}arphi$
$(ar q \gamma^\mu q) (arphi^\dagger D_\mu arphi)$	$[ar{u}(ilde{arphi}^{\dagger} q)](arphi^{\dagger} arphi)$	$ar{d}\sigma^{\mu u}\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(arphi^{\dagger}q)G^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu u}$
$(ar q \gamma^\mu T^I q) (arphi^\dagger T^I D_\mu arphi)$	$[ar{d}(arphi^\dagger q)](arphi^\dagger arphi)$	$ar{u}\sigma^{\mu u}\lambda^{\mathcal{A}}(ilde{arphi}^{\dagger}q)G^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mu u}$
$(ar{u}\gamma^\mu d)(arphi^\dagger D_\mu ilde{arphi})$	$[ar{e}(arphi^\dagger {\it I})](arphi^\dagger arphi)$	$ar{d}\sigma^{\mu u}T^{\prime}(arphi^{\dagger}q)W^{\prime}_{\mu u}$
$(ar{u}\gamma^{\mu}u)(arphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi)$		$ar{u}\sigma^{\mu u}T^{I}(ilde{arphi}^{\dagger}q)W^{I}_{\mu u}$
$(ar{d}\gamma^\mu d)(arphi^\dagger D_\mu arphi)$		$ar{ extbf{e}}\sigma^{\mu u} au^{ extsf{/}}(arphi^{\dagger} extsf{/})W^{ extsf{/}}_{\mu u}$
$(ar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e)(arphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}arphi)$		$ar{u}\sigma^{\mu u}(ilde{arphi}^{\dagger}q)B_{\mu u}$
$(ar{l}\gamma^\mu l)(arphi^\dagger D_\mu arphi)$		$ar{d} \sigma^{\mu u} (arphi^\dagger q) B_{\mu u}$
$(arphi^\dagger I)\gamma^\mu (ar l D_\mu arphi)$		$ar{ extbf{e}}\sigma^{\mu u}(arphi^{\dagger} extbf{I}) extbf{B}_{\mu u}$

・ロト ・ 日本・ 小田・ 小田・ 一日・ 今日・

ĪLĪL	\$\bar{R}R\$
$(\overline{l}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu l_{p_2})(\overline{l}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu l_{p_4})$	$(ar e\gamma_\mu e)(ar e\gamma^\mu e)$
$(ar{q}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu q_{p_2})(ar{q}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu q_{p_4})$	$(\bar{u}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu u_{p_2})(\bar{u}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu u_{p_4})$
$(\bar{q}_{p_1}\gamma_{\mu}T^{\prime}q_{p_2})(\bar{q}_{p_3}\gamma^{\mu}T^{\prime}q_{p_4})$	$(ar{d}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu d_{p_2})(ar{d}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu d_{p_4})$
$(ar{q}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu q_{p_2})(ar{l}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu l_{p_4})$	$(ar u\gamma_\mu u)(ar e\gamma^\mu e)$
$(\bar{q}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu T^{\prime}q_{p_2})(\bar{l}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu T^{\prime}l_{p_4})$	$(ar{d}\gamma_\mu d)(ar{e}\gamma^\mu e)$
	$(ar{u}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu u_{p_2})(ar{d}_{p_3}\gamma^\mu d_{p_4})$
	$(\bar{u}_{p_1}\gamma_\mu T^A u_{p_2})(\bar{d}_{p_3} T^A d_{p_4})$

<□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@

Fermionic operators

ĪRĪI	ĪRĪR
(<i>Īe</i>)(<i>ē</i> /)	$(\bar{q}_{p_1}u_{p_2})\varepsilon(\bar{q}_{p_3}d_{p_4})$
(ūI)(Īu)	$(\bar{q}_{p_1}T^A u_{p_2})\varepsilon(\bar{q}_{p_3}T^A d_{p_4})$
$(\bar{d}I)(\bar{I}d)$	$(\bar{q}u)arepsilon(ar{l}e)^{T}$
$(ar{q}_{ ho_1}e_{ ho_2})(ar{e}_{ ho_3}q_{ ho_4})$	$(ar{q}e)arepsilon(ar{l}u)^T$
$(\bar{q}_{p_1}u_{p_2})(\bar{u}_{p_3}q_{p_4})$	
$(\bar{q}_{p_1}T^A u_{p_2})(\bar{u}_{p_3}T^A q_{p_4})$	
$(ar{q}_{p_1}d_{p_2})(ar{d}_{p_3}q_{p_4})$	
$(\bar{q}_{p_1}T^A d_{p_4})(\bar{d}_{p_3}T^A q_{p_2})$	
$(\bar{q}d)(\bar{e}l)$	

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Redundant operators

 $rac{1}{2}\partial_\mu(arphi^\daggerarphi)\partial^\mu(arphi^\daggerarphi)$



 $rac{1}{2}\partial_\mu(arphi^\daggerarphi)\partial^\mu(arphi^\daggerarphi)$

 $(\bar{\psi}_R D_\mu \psi_L) (D^\mu \varphi)$ $[(D_\mu \bar{\psi}_R) \psi_L] (D^\mu \varphi)$ $(\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu D_\nu \psi) X^{\mu\nu}$

 $\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\partial^{\mu}(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$

 $(\bar{\psi}_R D_\mu \psi_L) (D^\mu \varphi)$ $[(D_\mu \bar{\psi}_R) \psi_L] (D^\mu \varphi)$ $(\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu D_\nu \psi) X^{\mu\nu}$

 $(\overline{l}_{p_1}\gamma_{\mu}T'l_{p_2})(\overline{l}_{p_3}\gamma^{\mu}T'l_{p_4})$

$$T_{ij}^{I}T_{kl}^{I} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} - \frac{1}{2N}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$$

The

 $rac{1}{2}\partial_\mu(arphi^\daggerarphi)\partial^\mu(arphi^\daggerarphi)$

 $(\bar{\psi}_R D_\mu \psi_L) (D^\mu \varphi)$ $[(D_\mu \bar{\psi}_R) \psi_L] (D^\mu \varphi)$ $(\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu D_\nu \psi) X^{\mu\nu}$

$$(\overline{l}_{p_1}\gamma_{\mu}T'l_{p_2})(\overline{l}_{p_3}\gamma^{\mu}T'l_{p_4})$$

$$T_{ij}^{I}T_{kl}^{I} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} - \frac{1}{2N}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$$

absent one: $(\bar{q}e)\varepsilon(\bar{l}u)^{T}$

$$(I^{T}\tilde{\varphi}^{*})(\tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}I) \tag{1}$$

$$\varepsilon^{abc}(I_{\rho_1}^T \gamma_{\mu} u^b)_{\rho_2} \varepsilon(d_{\rho_3}^{cT} \gamma^{\mu} q_{\rho_4}^a)$$
⁽²⁾

$$\varepsilon^{abc}(e_{p_1}^T \gamma_{\mu} q_{p_2}^{ai}) \varepsilon^{ij}(u_{p_3}^{cT} \gamma^{\mu} q_{p_4}^{bj})$$
(3)

$$\varepsilon^{abc}(e_{p_1}^T u_{p_4}^a)(u_{p_3}^{bT} d_{p_2}^c) \tag{4}$$

$$\varepsilon^{abc}(I_{p_1}^T\varepsilon q_{p_2}^a)(q_{p_3}^{bT}\varepsilon q_{p_4}^c)$$
(5)

$$\varepsilon^{abc}(I_{p_1}^T \varepsilon \tau^I q_{p_2}^a)(q_{p_3}^{bT} \varepsilon \tau^I q_{p_4}^c)$$
(6)

How to discover new physics?



How to discover new physics?

Recent papers with redundant operators:

- ► J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, "Single top quark production at LHC with anomalous Wtb couplings", Nucl. Phys. B804 (2008) 160;
- K. Agashe, R. Contino, "Composite Higgs-mediated flavor-changing neutral current", Phys. Rev. D 80, 075016 (2009);

 S. Kanemura, K. Tsumura, "Effects of the anomalous Higgs couplings on the Higgs boson production at the Large Hadron Collider ", Eur. Phys. J. C63 (2009) 11;

Questions



$$(\bar{\psi}D_{\mu}\psi)(D^{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\mu}\}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} D_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \underline{\mathcal{D}} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi)$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi\psi\varphi\varphi D}_{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)]} - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\psi}\overleftarrow{\not{D}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

but

$$(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}(-i)\sigma^{\nu\mu}\psi)(\sum_{k}X_{\nu\mu}^{k}\varphi) + (\bar{\psi}\psi)(\underline{D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\varphi})$$

SO

$$(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu \psi_R)(D^\mu \varphi) = \psi \psi \varphi \varphi D + \psi \psi X \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \psi \psi \psi$$

$$(\bar{\psi}D_{\mu}\psi)(D^{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\mu}\}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} D_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \underline{\not{D}} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi)$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi\psi\varphi\varphi D}_{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)]} - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\psi}\overleftarrow{\not{p}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

but

$$(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}(-i)\sigma^{\nu\mu}\psi)(\sum_{k}X_{\nu\mu}^{k}\varphi) + (\bar{\psi}\psi)(\underline{D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\varphi})$$

SO

$$(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu \psi_R)(D^\mu \varphi) = \psi \psi \varphi \varphi D + \psi \psi X \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \psi \psi \psi$$

 $(\bar{\psi}D_{\mu}\psi)(D^{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\mu}\}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} D_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \underline{\not{D}} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi)$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi\psi\varphi\varphi D}_{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)]} - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\psi}\overleftarrow{\not{D}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) + \\ - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

but

$$(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}(-i)\sigma^{\nu\mu}\psi)(\sum_{k}X_{\nu\mu}^{k}\varphi) + (\bar{\psi}\psi)(\underline{D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\varphi})$$

SO

 $(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu \psi_R)(D^\mu \varphi) = \psi \psi \varphi \varphi D + \psi \psi X \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \psi \psi \psi$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

$$(\bar{\psi}D_{\mu}\psi)(D^{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\mu}\}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} D_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \underline{\mathcal{D}} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi)$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi\psi\varphi\varphi D}_{-\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)]} - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\psi}\overleftarrow{\not{D}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

but

$$(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}(-i)\sigma^{\nu\mu}\psi)(\sum_{k}X_{\nu\mu}^{k}\varphi) + (\bar{\psi}\psi)(\underline{D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\varphi})$$

SO

$$(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu \psi_R)(D^\mu \varphi) = \psi \psi \varphi \varphi D + \psi \psi X \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \psi \psi$$

$$(\bar{\psi}D_{\mu}\psi)(D^{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\eta^{\nu\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}D_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\mu}\}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} D_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \underline{\mathcal{D}} \psi) (D_{\mu} \varphi)$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi\psi\varphi\varphi D}_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\nu}[(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi)] - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\psi}\overleftarrow{\not{D}}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\mu}\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi)$$

but

$$(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\psi)(D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\varphi) = (\bar{\psi}(-i)\sigma^{\nu\mu}\psi)(\sum_{k}X_{\nu\mu}^{k}\varphi) + (\bar{\psi}\psi)(\underline{D^{\mu}D_{\mu}\varphi})$$

so

$$(\bar{\psi}_L D_\mu \psi_R)(D^\mu \varphi) = \psi \psi \varphi \varphi D + \psi \psi X \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \varphi \varphi \varphi + \psi \psi \psi \psi \psi$$